

Inverse Problem for the Schrödinger Equation with Non-self-adjoint Matrix Potential

Jeff Park

University of Alaska Fairbanks

based on a joint paper with Sergei Avdonin, Alexander Mikhaylov, and Victor Mikhaylov

October 27, 2020

Outline

1 Introduction

- Statement of the Problem
- Initial Setup

2 Main Ideas of the Solution

- The Boundary Control (BC) method
- Recovering Spectral Data
- Recovering the Potential

3 Concluding Remarks

Introduction

Statement of the Problem

For this work, we consider the following dynamical system

$$\begin{cases} iu_t - u_{xx} + Q(x)u = 0, & 0 \leq x \leq \ell, 0 < t < T, \\ u(x, 0) = 0, & 0 \leq x \leq \ell, \\ u_x(0, t) = f(t), u(\ell, t) = 0, & 0 < t < T, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $\ell > 0$, $T > 0$ are given, and $Q \in C([0, \ell]; \mathbb{C}^{N \times N})$, $Q \neq Q^*$, is a matrix potential. The vector function $f \in \mathcal{F}^T = L^2(0, T; \mathbb{C}^N)$ is referred to as the *boundary control*. The inner product in \mathcal{F}^T is defined by

$$(f, g)_{\mathcal{F}^T} := \int_0^T \langle f(t), g(t) \rangle dt,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is a standard scalar product in \mathbb{C}^N .

Introduction

Statement of the Problem

We denote the solution to (1) corresponding to f by u^f . We introduce the response operator R^T by

$$\begin{aligned} R^T : \mathcal{F}^T &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}^T, \\ (R^T f)(t) &= u^f(0, t), \quad 0 < t < T. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The inverse problem is to recover $Q(x)$ for $0 < x < \ell$ from R^T .

Introduction

Statement of the Problem

- For the scalar Schrödinger equation, this problem was solved by Avdonin et al (2002, 2005).
- However, our problem is different and more difficult because Q is a matrix and non-self-adjoint.
- It is a new problem, and is a good example to show the Boundary Control (BC) method and how to combine both dynamical and spectral approaches to solve inverse problems.

Introduction

Initial Setup

- Since Q is non-self-adjoint, we consider the operators

$$A = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + Q(x),$$

$$A^* = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + Q^*(x).$$

Introduction

Initial Setup

- Since Q is a matrix, we have no information about the eigenvalues of A and A^* with respect to their multiplicity and the dimension of their associated eigenspaces.
- For this talk, we will assume that for each eigenvalue λ_k with algebraic multiplicity M_k , that there are M_k corresponding eigenfunctions.
- However, the general case has been solved.

Introduction

Initial Setup

Along with System (1), we consider the following associated dynamical control system:

$$\begin{cases} iv_t - v_{xx} + Q^*(x)v = 0, & 0 \leq x \leq \ell, 0 < t < T, \\ v(x, 0) = 0, & 0 \leq x \leq \ell, \\ v_x(0, t) = g(t), v(\ell, t) = 0, & 0 < t < T, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

with solution v^g . The response operator for this system will be denoted $R_{\#}^T$ with

$$\begin{aligned} R_{\#}^T : \mathcal{F}^T &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}^T, \\ (R_{\#}^T g)(t) &= v^g(0, t), \quad 0 < t < T. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Introduction

Initial Setup

Definition (Spaces for operators)

We first define the underlying space as $\mathcal{H} = L^2((0, \ell); \mathbb{C}^N)$. We consider the Sobolev spaces $H^1([0, \ell]; \mathbb{C}^N)$, $H^2([0, \ell]; \mathbb{C}^N)$, and we set

- 1 $\mathcal{H}_1 = \{f \in H^1([0, \ell]; \mathbb{C}^N) \mid f(\ell) = 0\}$,
- 2 $\mathcal{H}_2 = \{f \in H^2([0, \ell]; \mathbb{C}^N) \mid f'(0) = 0, f(\ell) = 0\}$.

The domain of A (A^*), denoted $\text{dom } A$ ($\text{dom } A^*$), is \mathcal{H}_2 .

Introduction

Initial Setup

- For Systems (1) and (3), we introduce the *control operators*

$$\begin{aligned} W^T : \mathcal{F}^T &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}, & (W^T f)(x) &:= u^f(x, T), \\ W_{\#}^T : \mathcal{F}^T &\rightarrow \mathcal{H}, & (W_{\#}^T g)(x) &:= v^g(x, T). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Main Ideas of the Solution

The Boundary Control (BC) method

- The Boundary Control (BC) method is a technique that was first used to solve similar inverse problems for wave equations.
- It is known that controllability is equivalent to observability, which then is connected to inverse problems.
- However, the BC method revealed a direct connection between controllability and inverse problems.
- Because of two key properties: finite speed of propagation and causality, the BC method provides a purely dynamical approach to solving the inverse problem.

Main Ideas of the Solution

The Boundary Control (BC) method

- For the Schrödinger equation, we have infinite speed of propagation, and thus we cannot use a purely dynamical approach.
- Therefore, we use a spectral approach involving the Fourier method.
- However, the Fourier method requires Riesz bases of eigenfunctions of the underlying differential operators A and A^* .

Main Ideas of the Solution

Riesz Basis Property

- When the underlying operator is self-adjoint, we can use classical spectral theory for differential operators.
- Verifying the Riesz basis property for non-self-adjoint operators typically involves investigating the associated Sturm-Liouville (S-L) problem.
- Recently, Lunyov and Malamud (2015) developed an alternative approach using the first-order system representation of the S-L problem.
- Using this, we were able to prove that A and A^* possess Riesz bases of eigenfunctions, denoted $\{\varphi_{k,l}\}$ and $\{\psi_{k,l}\}$, respectively.

Main Ideas of the Solution

Spectral Controllability

- Now that we established the existence of a Riesz basis, we need to be able to drive the system to each eigenfunction. This is known as *spectral controllability*.

Definition (Spectral Controllability)

Systems (1) and (3) are spectrally controllable if for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq l \leq M_k$, there exist controls $f_{k,l}$ and $g_{k,l}$ such that

$$\left(W^T f_{k,l} \right) (x) = \varphi_{k,l}(x),$$

$$\left(W_{\#}^T g_{k,l} \right) (x) = \psi_{k,l}(x).$$

Main Ideas of the Solution

Spectral Controllability

- The most common approach is by the method of moments and analyze the resulting exponential family.
- However, the study of vector exponentials is still underdeveloped and we cannot proceed in this way.
- Instead, we use a technique called the “control transmutation method” used by Miller (2005).
- This method uses controllability of the associated wave system to construct the necessary control functions, and we prove spectral controllability of our system.
- Combined with the work of Ervedoza and Zuazua (2010), we can obtain control functions from a smoother space, $H_0^1(0, T; \mathbb{C}^N)$.

Main Ideas of the Solution

Recovering Spectral Data

- Now, we'll begin the process of recovering spectral data from the response operators R^T and $R_{\#}^T$.
- To show the connection between response operators, we introduce the operator J^T in \mathcal{F}^T by the rule

$$(J^T f)(t) := f(T - t), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T.$$

Lemma

The following identity holds.

$$(R_{\#}^T)^* J^T = J^T R^T \tag{6}$$

Main Ideas of the Solution

Recovering Spectral Data

- From the control operators, we construct the *connecting operator* $C^T : \mathcal{F}^T \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^T$ by its quadratic form

$$(C^T f, g)_{\mathcal{F}^T} = (W^T f, W_{\#}^T g)_{\mathcal{H}} = (u^f(x, T), v^g(x, T))_{\mathcal{H}}. \quad (7)$$

- It is an important fact in the BC method that C^T can also be expressed in terms of the dynamical data.

Lemma

$$C^T = i[(R_{\#}^T)^* - R^T] = i[J^T R^T J^T - R^T] \quad (8)$$

Main Ideas of the Solution

Recovering Spectral Data

We formulate the main result.

Theorem

The spectrum of A and (non-normalized) controls $\{f_{k,l}\}$ are the spectrum and the eigenfunctions of the following generalized spectral problem:

$$C^T \dot{f}_{k,l} - i\lambda_k C^T f_{k,l} = 0. \quad (9)$$

Main Ideas of the Solution

Recovering Spectral Data

Similarly, we obtain a generalized spectral problem for A^* :

Remark

The spectrum of A^ and (non-normalized) controls $\{g_{k,l}\}$ are the spectrum and the eigenfunctions of the following generalized spectral problem:*

$$\left(C^T\right)^* \dot{g}_{k,l} + i\overline{\lambda}_k \left(C^T\right)^* g_{k,l} = 0. \quad (10)$$

Main Ideas of the Solution

Recovering Spectral Data

- From Equations (9) and (10), we obtain the spectrum $\{\lambda_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.
- However, the controls we obtain may not correspond to a biorthogonal family, or even be linearly independent.
- This is a consequence of our system being a vector equation.
- It is a linear algebra exercise to construct families of controls that correspond to biorthogonal families of eigenfunctions.

Main Ideas of the Solution

Recovering Spectral Data

- We then obtain new families of controls $\{f_{k,l}\}$ and $\{g_{k,l}\}$, but their corresponding eigenfunctions may be different than $\{\varphi_{k,l}\}$ and $\{\psi_{k,l}\}$, so we denote these new eigenfunctions by

$$(W^T f_{k,l})(x) = \hat{\varphi}_{k,l}(x),$$

$$(W_{\#}^T g_{k,l})(x) = \hat{\psi}_{k,l}(x).$$

- In addition, we observe

$$(R^T f_{k,l})(T) = u^{f_{k,l}}(0, T) = \hat{\varphi}_{k,l}(0) =: \Phi_{k,l},$$

$$(R_{\#}^T g_{k,l})(T) = v^{g_{k,l}}(0, T) = \hat{\psi}_{k,l}(0) =: \Psi_{k,l}.$$

Main Ideas of the Solution

Recovering Spectral Data

- In particular, the response operator recovers the traces of these eigenvectors, which is part of the spectral data we desire.

Definition

The set $D := \{\lambda_k, \Phi_{k,l}, \Psi_{k,l}\}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 \leq l \leq M_k$ is *spectral data* of System (1).

Main Ideas of the Solution

Recovering the Potential

- Now we can use spectral data to recover the potential. We construct the auxiliary wave system

$$\begin{cases} w_{tt} - w_{xx} + Q(x)w = 0, & 0 \leq x \leq \ell, 0 < t < T, \\ w(x, 0) = w_t(x, 0) = 0, & 0 \leq x \leq \ell, \\ w_x(0, t) = f(t), w(\ell, t) = 0, & 0 < t < T, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

with response operator $(R_w^T f)(t) := w^f(0, t)$.

- We also consider the associated wave system with matrix potential Q^* with solution $w_{\#}^g(x, t)$.
- We define the connecting operator, C_w^T , as the scalar product of the corresponding solutions of these two wave systems.

Main Ideas of the Solution

Recovering the Potential

- The next step is to express C_w^T in terms of the spectral data we obtained from (1).
- Using the Fourier method, we represent the solution, $w^f(x, t)$, in the form

$$w^f(x, t) = \sum_{k,l} b_{k,l}(t) \hat{\varphi}_{k,l}(x),$$

where

$$b_{k,l}(t) = - \int_0^t \left[\Psi_{k,l} f(\tau) \right] \frac{\sin \sqrt{\lambda_k}(t - \tau)}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} d\tau. \quad (12)$$

Main Ideas of the Solution

Recovering the Potential

- Similarly, we represent $w_{\#}^g(x, t)$ in the form

$$w_{\#}^g(x, t) = \sum_{k,l} c_{k,l}(t) \hat{\psi}_{k,l}(x),$$

where

$$c_{k,l}(t) = - \int_0^t \left[\Phi_{k,l} g(s) \right] \frac{\sin \sqrt{\lambda_k}(t-s)}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} ds. \quad (13)$$

Main Ideas of the Solution

Recovering the Potential

- From here, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
 (C_w^T f, g)_{\mathcal{F}T} &= (w^f(x, T), w_{\#}^g(x, T))_{\mathcal{H}} \\
 &= \sum_{k,l} \left\{ \int_0^T [\Psi_{k,l} f(t)] \frac{\sin \sqrt{\lambda_k}(T-t)}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} dt \right. \\
 &\quad \left. \times \int_0^T [\Phi_{k,l} g(s)] \frac{\sin \sqrt{\lambda_k}(T-s)}{\sqrt{\lambda_k}} ds \right\},
 \end{aligned}$$

- Hence, C_w^T is completely determined by the spectral data.

Main Ideas of the Solution

Recovering the Potential

- Let e_j is the j -th standard basis vector in \mathbb{C}^N and $y_j(x)$ be the solution to the boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} y''(x) - Q(x)y(x) = 0, & 0 \leq x \leq \ell, \\ y(0) = 0, y'(\ell) = e_j, \end{cases} \quad (14)$$

- Let p_j^T be the control function such that

$$w^{p_j^T}(x, T) = \begin{cases} y(x), & x \leq T, \\ 0, & x > T. \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

Main Ideas of the Solution

Recovering the Potential

- The function p_j^T satisfies the equation

$$(C_w^T p_j^T)(t) = (T - t)e_j, \quad t \in (0, T).$$

- The BC method states that C_w^T is boundedly invertible. Hence, this equation has a unique solution for all $T \leq \ell$.
- We define $\mu_j(T) := p_j^T(+0)$ and note that $-p_j^T(+0) = y_j(T)$.
- Thus, $\mu_j(T)$ is twice differentiable with respect to T .

Main Ideas of the Solution

Recovering the Potential

- We then construct the $N \times N$ matrix $M(T)$ by

$$M(T) = [\mu_1(T) \mid \mu_2(T) \mid \cdots \mid \mu_n(T)]$$

- Thus,

$$Q(T) = M''(T)M^{-1}(T),$$





















and M is invertible except for finitely many points.

- By varying T in $(0, \ell)$, we obtain $Q(\cdot)$ in that interval.
- When $M(T)$ is singular, we recover $Q(T)$ by continuity.
- This completes the process of recovering the potential.

Concluding Remarks

- We have developed a strategy to recover the non-self-adjoint matrix potential for the Schrödinger system.
- In the process, we proved the Riesz basis property of the operator A and the spectral controllability of the system.
- We also developed an algorithm to construct the control functions corresponding to the eigenfunctions of A .
- Our inverse data, R^T , is a Neumann-to-Dirichlet mapping.
- However, our approach can be extended to the Dirichlet-to-Neumann (D-N) mapping, which are also common in dynamical inverse problems.

Bibliography

-  Avdonin S, Lenhart S and Protopenescu V 2002 *Inverse Problems* **18** 349–361
-  Avdonin S, Lenhart S and Protopenescu V 2005 *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.* **13** 317–330
-  Belishev M I 2003 *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)* **297** 30–48 mat. Vopr. Teor. Rasprostr. Voln. 32, translation in *J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)* **127** (2005), no. 6, 2353-2363
-  Belishev M I 2001 *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.* **9** 547–565
-  Katchalov A, Kurylev Y, Lassas M and Mandache N 2004 *Inverse Problems* **20** 419–436
-  Mikhaylov A and Mikhaylov V 2017 *J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)* **226** 779–794
-  Belishev M I 1995 *Algebra i Analiz* **7** 3–32 translation in *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **7** (1996), no. 6, 869-890
-  Avdonin S A, Belishev M I and Rozhkov Y S 1997 *J. Inverse Ill-Posed Probl.* **5** 309–322
-  Avdonin S, Gesztesy F and Makarov K A 2010 *Inverse Problems and Imaging* **4** 1–9 doi:10.3934/ipi.2010.4.1
-  Mikhaylov A and Mikhaylov V 2012 *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)* **42** 121–129 translation in *J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)* **194** (2013), no. 1, 67-71
-  Avdonin S, Mikhaylov A and Mikhaylov V 2015 *Nanosystems: Physics, Chemistry, Mathematics* **6** doi:10.17586/2220-8054-2015-6-1-63-78
-  Belishev M I 2007 *Inverse Problems* **23** R1–R67
-  Avdonin S and Mikhaylov V 2011 *Zap. Nauchn. Sem. S.-Peterburg. Otdel. Mat. Inst. Steklov. (POMI)* **41** 5–11 translation in *J. Math. Sci. (N.Y.)* **185** (2012), no. 4, 513-516
-  Avdonin S, Mikhaylov V and Ramdani K 2014 *IMA J. Math. Control Inform.* **31** 137–150
-  Lunyov A A and Malamud M M 2015 *J. Spectr. Theory* **5** 17–70 doi:10.4171/JST/90
-  Avdonin S and Nicaise S 2015 *Inverse Problems* **31** 29pp doi:10.1088/0266-5611/31/9/095007
-  Miller L 2005 *Journal of Functional Analysis* **218** 425–444
-  Ervedoza S and Zuazua E 2010 *Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems Series B* **14** 1375–1401 doi:10.3934/dcdsb.2010.14.1375
-  Avdonin S A and Belishev M I 1996 *Control Cybernet.* **25** 429–440
-  Avdonin S A, Belishev M I and Ivanov S A 1991 *Mat. Sb.* **182** 307–331 translation in *Math. USSR-Sb.* **72** (1992), no. 2, 287-310